



Sarah Dussault <sarah.dusseault@lacity.org>

PUBLIC RECORDS ASSISTANCE TO CD4

Pamela

Thu, Jun 23, 2016 at 5:41 PM

To: Sarah Dussault <sarah.dusseault@lacity.org>

Fantastic! Thank you so much.

It seems appropriate for someone to learn what really occurred so we don't have "Deja Vu" later!

On Jun 23, 2016, at 5:35 PM, Sarah Dussault <sarah.dusseault@lacity.org> wrote:

Pamela,

We have received. I have already made calls asking for these documents. I will follow up to the best that I can and respond.

Sarah

On Thu, Jun 23, 2016 at 5:14 PM, Pamela <pamela@lacity.org> wrote:
(Second attempt. Please confirm receipt. Thanks!)

Dear Sarah,

Attached below are records of a few of the many attempts I have made, pursuant to the California Public Records Act, to obtain records that would provide some amount of transparency about the recent events at Runyon Canyon Park, and would likely indicate whether responsibility for damages are attributable to RAP, FORC and/or the donor.

Because responses to many of my requests have been either misleading, repeatedly delayed, or the requests themselves completely ignored, I am writing to learn whether your office could provide any assistance to me in obtaining the information, described in more detail in the various public records requests I have attached to this email.

Sincerely,

Pamela

FOLLOW UP CPRA TO RAP:

From: [REDACTED]
Date: June 16, 2016 at 10:33:53 AM PDT
To: Commissioners Rap <rap.commissioners@lacity.org>
Subject: CPRA - FAILURE TO PRODUCE RECORDS REQUESTED

Dear RAP COMMISSIONERS,

Please respond to the email attached again here. You have not yet done so.

As you must know, your failure to reply is a serious violation of the CPRA.

Sincerely,

Pamela

From: Pamela
Date: May 17, 2016 at 9:14:51 PM PDT

To: RAP Commissioners <rap.commissioners@lacity.org>

Subject: Re: Responsive Records for CPRA No. 619 - Records Related to Pink Dolphin Clothing, LLC

Dear RAP Commissioners,

Thank you for providing copies of your emails relating to Pink Dolphin, LLC.

The document I received today indicates that several emails were not produced, perhaps by oversight. Today's production also shows that numerous documents were attached, and referred to, in these emails, however, none of these documents were included in your production.

Among the documents mentioned in the emails you produced are building plans, permits, insurance, contracts, budgets, invoices, and checks. Please confirm that all of these documents, and drafts of these documents, as well as the missing emails, will be produced.

In addition, from an initial reading of today's production, it appears that FORC was acting as an agent for RAP, in connection with the demolition of the old estate court, and by receiving a donation on behalf of a city agency. FORC also seems to have hired and paid service providers, who were engaged in the Runyon Canyon Park demolition activities that occurred last month.

However, you have not yet produced any agreements which indicate that FORC has been qualified by the city to receive gifts on behalf of a city agency. In addition, you have not produced any agreements that indicate that FORC had the necessary qualifications and permissions to hire contractors to work in a public park, or to supervise construction activities in a public park.

Please confirm that these agreements will also be produced.

Please also consider this a public records request for RAP's communications, and internal records relating to such communications, with Mr. Andres, and other officers of FORC, including, but not limited to John Gile, Josh Kopel, and Stacy Sillins, in connection with Runyon Canyon Park.

Sincerely,

Pamela

EMAIL EXCHANGE WITH FORC:

From: Pamela

Date: June 14, 2016 at 7:14:05 PM PDT

To: "avis@ml." <

Cc: Don /

Subject: Re: PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST TO FORC

Dear Travis,

Thank you for your reply. However, I encourage you to review the law and reconsider your answer.

Private non-profit entities delegated legal authority to carry out public functions are subject to the CPRA, if they are funded with public money.

This definition makes it abundantly clear that the CPRA applies to FORC, regardless of its corporate status.

FORC directly solicited, and received, funds from HHWNC on two separate occasions. While FORC may have directed these funds to be paid to another city agency, FORC solicited the funds and promised to use them for a public function. As you know, the monies provided to FORC by HHWNC were tax payer funds, allocated to the HHWNC neighborhood counsel pursuant to the City Charter.

4. All Records relating to Notices of the planned demolition of the old tennis court, and other structures, in Runyon Canyon Park; and, all Records relating to written Notices sent to local residents, or other parties, pursuant to Los Angeles City Ordinance 183312.

5. All Records relating to permits for demolition, excavation, hauling, grading, backfilling, and/or construction, of, or adjacent to, the old tennis court in Runyon Canyon Park;

6. All Records relating to construction PLANS, drawings, schematics, and models for the area adjacent to, and including, the old tennis court in Runyon Canyon Park;

7. All Records of contracts relating to Runyon Canyon Park;

8. All Records of invoices relating to Runyon Canyon Park;

9. All records of monies transferred BY YOU OR ANY PARTY, to ANY party relating to Runyon Canyon Park;

10. All records of monies, goods, and/or services received, BY YOU OR ANY PARTY, from ANY party relating to Runyon Canyon Park;

Under the California Public Records Act, "Records" includes all communications, "regardless of physical form or characteristics, including any writing, picture, sound, or symbol, whether paper...magnetic or other media, including (pursuant to § 6252(e), electronic records, and includes emails.

If it is necessary for me to review these documents in your offices, or elsewhere, please instruct me about how I should proceed.

Sincerely,

Pameli

EMAIL EXCHANGE WITH RAP:

From: RAP Commissioners <rap.commissioners@lacity.org>

Date: April 14, 2016 at 5:13:11 PM PDT

To

Subject: CPRA 609: Runyon Canyon Report No. 15-223, PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST FOR AGREEMENTS AND PERMITS REFERRED TO IN REPORT

Good Afternoon Ms. Colburn,

This communication is in response to your request for the following records:

1. All Agreements between RAP and Pink Dolphin Clothing, LLC: There are no existing Agreements between Pink Dolphin, LLC and City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks (Department). Pink Dolphin's Agreement is with the Friends of Runyon Canyon Foundation (FORC) for the donation being used by FORC for the Runyon Canyon Park outdoor basketball court project (Project).

2. Pink Dolphin's proposal for Runyon Canyon Park outdoor basketball court: Attached is Pink Dolphin's proposal dated July 28, 2015 that is the basis of the Board's approval of Report No. 15-223.

3. Geology and Soils Report for Retaining Wall: Attached is the Review of Geotechnical Conditions Report prepared by Feffer Geological Consulting.

4. Maintenance Agreement: There are no Maintenance Agreements that currently exist between Pink Dolphin and the Department.

5. Project Approvals and Permits: Please be advised that the Department finds that "unusual circumstances" exist with respect to the requested records. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 6253(c) (1), unusual circumstances exist due to the need to search for and collect records from field facilities that are separate from this office.

In addition, FORC was delegated legal authority to carry out public functions by the Department of Recreation and Parks, "RAP", as described in FORC's Right of Entry Permit.

FORC received public funds from RAP, was charged with employing workers on behalf of RAP, and FORC was charged with carrying out several public functions, including, but not limited to, i) managing construction activities for RAP in Runyon Canyon Park, a public park, and ii) informing the public about the public functions it had been authorized to manage for the City.

The Records I have requested concern the conduct of the people's business by an entity delegated legal authority to carry out public functions by a governmental agency, and access to such information is a fundamental right of every person in California.

Please advise me whether you intend to produce all of the required materials, and if not, please provide me with a legitimate reason for refusing to produce copies of all the requested public records.

Sincerely,

Pamela

=====

On Jun 13, 2016, at 12:11 PM, ra te:

Dear M:

FORC is a non-profit but we are not an agency of government. Accordingly, the California Public Records Act does not apply to us. You may want to direct your inquiry to the Department of Recreation and Parks.

Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions, please let me know.

Travis

From: Pamela
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 12:29 PM
To: Travis
Cc: Dor
Subject: PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST TO FORC

Dear Travis,

Dor asked me to direct my CPRA request to you.

Therefore, pursuant to the California Public Records Act § 6252, please consider this a public records request for a copy of the following Records, which I understand to be held by your company/agency:

1. All Records relating to the demolition of the old tennis court, and surrounding structures, in Runyon Canyon Park;
2. All Records relating to the excavation of, or adjacent to, the old tennis court, in Runyon Canyon Park;
3. All Records relating to the hauling or removal of any materials (including trees and shrubs) from the site of old tennis court in Runyon Canyon Park;

The Department hereby requests an extension in making a determination concerning your request for Item 5 on or before Friday, April 28, 2016.

Please feel free to contact the Office of Board of Recreation and Park Commissioners at [redacted] regarding this matter. Thank you for your patience.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mon, Apr 4, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Pamela [redacted]

wrote:

Dear Commissioners,

Please consider this a public records request for a copy of all agreements between RAP, and Pink Dolphin Clothing, LLC, (the "Donor") including the Donor's proposal referred to in page 2 of REPORT OF THE GENERAL MANAGER No. 15-223, and the maintenance agreement, and the Geology and Soils Report, referred to on page 3 of the same report.

Several project approvals and permits are referred to in the same document, and I attempted to locate copies of these in the City Planning and Building and Safety offices, but was unsuccessful. To the extent you could provide me with copies of these as well, I would be grateful.

If it is necessary for me to review these documents in your offices, please instruct me about how I should proceed.

Best,

Pamela [redacted]



Sarah Dusseault
Chief of Staff

[Los Angeles City Councilmember David Ryu](#)

City Hall: [213.473.2344](tel:213.473.2344)

sarah.dusseault@lacity.org

Copyright © 2003 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Discussion



